

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,575	03/29/2004	Dae-sung Park	P24730	9521		
7055 75	90 04/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			FRIEDHOFER, MICHAEL A			
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2832			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,575		PARK, DAE-SUNG	/RN
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-(11)
	Michael A. F	riedhofer	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, oly within the statutor will apply and will ex e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 J</u>		final		
<ul> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition for allowance.</li> </ul>	·	r formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consi			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) edrawing(s) be letion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been its have been its have been its document au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications In have been receive In (a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		2)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson in view of Carothers.

Peterson discloses a hinge including a leave 2; a leave 4; a conductor element 26 attached to a designated position of a "lower surface" or surface away from the opposite leave and a magnetic element 22 attached to a designated position of a panel of a door provided above or opposite the opposite leave. The conductor element and the magnetic element are installed such that they are opposite each other when the door is closed. The magnetic switch is contactless-type proximity switch.

Peterson does not disclose the switch being used for the opening and closing of a hood.

Carothers teaches the use of a magnetically operated switch mounted for the purpose of being operated by the opening and closing of the hood. While a contact type switch is shown, other types of magnetic switches, such as, reed switches and hall sensors may be utilized, which are contactless-type proximity switches.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,575

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Carothers to Peterson to utilize the magnetically operated switch in the hood switch of a front end module carrier with one leave being attached to the inner panel of a hood and the opposite leave being attached to the front end module carrier because the structure of the switch and its operation by the changing position of the magnet would not be altered by the object for which the switch is detecting movement and provides the switch with the purpose of utilizing the switch for operating a means for illuminating the underside of a hood. As for the switch operating an alarm light in the automobile, it is well known and established that light alarms or indicators are placed in automobiles to indicate that the trunk or hood are ajar and that these lights are connected to switches located in the trunk or hood and it is a matter of engineering design choice to connect the switch not only to the underhood light but also to the hood ajar indicator because this reduces the number of sensors needed to perform two functions.

Page 3

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed January 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First, the two references are appropriate for combination because both Carothers and Peterson teach hinged doors upon which sensors are mounted to detect whether the door is opened or closed. Next, the specific structure of the Carothers switch is not the teaching being utilized but that proximity switches of various types, such as that taught by Peterson, may be used for detecting the position of the

Art Unit: 2832

automobile hood, which is another type of door. The combination does indeed teach claimed features as presently recited in the all of the claims. As for contactless-type switch, the specific structure of Carothers is not the teaching, however, not only does Peterson teach that a contactless-type may be used, but Carothers teaches that contactless-type proximity switches are well known equivalents and may be utilized in the sensor. As for the lack of an alarm light, it is well known for hood switches to be connected to door ajar lights or "alarm lights" within an automobile and it would be obvious to not only use it for an underhood light but also for the indicator light because this would be for the purpose of reducing the number switches necessary to perform these well known functions.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Friedhofer whose telephone number is 571-272-1992. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael A. Friedhofer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

maf